HLA Antigens and Haplotype Frequencies among Renal Transplant Recipients and Donors Presenting to a Tertiary Care Hospital in Hyderabad, Telangana, South India

M.Neeraja¹, Sreedhar Kesireddy¹, N.Raj Kumar¹, M.Praveen Kumar¹, P.Pullaiah¹, Ch.Raju¹

¹Department of Transplant Immunology, Apollo Hospitals, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Abstract

Histocompatibility testing of HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-DRB1 antigens has great importance in the selection of kidney recipient candidates and donors for transplantation. DNA typing of HLA Loci HLA-A,B and DRB1 loci was performed using the SSO typing kit (Luminex) for 2000 renal transplant recipients and donor who presented to our tertiary care centre .There was male preponderance among recipients and female preponderance among donors. The antigen frequency of HLA A*33:01,B*44:03, B*15:18, B*57:03 were significantly higher in donors suggesting that these antigens may have protective influence on the kidney, while antigen frequency of B*57:01was significantly higher in recipients. Two locus haplotypes of HLA A-B,A-DRB1,B-DRB1 documented among recipients was A*24:02,B*51:01, A*01:01-B*52:01, A*68:01-DRB1*14:01,B*49:01-DRB1*13:02 and among donors was A*68:01-DRB1*13:01, A*03:01-B*35:01,B*44:03-DRB1*15:02,B*13:01-DRB1*07:01.Three locus HLA A- B-DRB1 haplotypes documented among recipients were A*24:02-B*40:06-DRB1*15:01 and A*01:01-B*57:01-DRB1*07:01, suggesting that these antigens may be risk factor for renal failure. Two locus and three locus haplotypes reported in our study are not reported from other parts of India. The HLA antigens and haplotypes reported in our study will help in understanding the Indian population genetics and help the organ transplant team to find suitable matched donors for transplant recipients.

Keywords: HLA antigens, Haplotype, Luminex –SSOP, Renaltransplantation.

Introduction

Histocompatibility testing of Human leukocyte antigen (HLA), HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-DRB1 antigens has great importance in the selection of kidney recipient candidates and donors for transplantation ^[1]. HLA- A,-B and –DR mismatch plays a major role in graft loss ^[2].

HLA typing was previously performed by serological method by using HLA antiserum [3,4] but this procedure is now considered to be cumbersome and

Corresponding Author: Dr. M.Neeraja,

Consultant & Head, Department of Transplant Immunology, Apollo Hospitals, Hyderabad, Telangana, India, Mobile- 9848995083. Email- drneeraja_m@apollohospitals.com

lacks the resolving power. With the advent of molecular typing using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequence specific oligonucleotide probes (SSOPs), it has become possible to further define HLA polymorphism at the DNA level ^[5]. Recently, PCR and the Luminex microbead system for the simultaneous multiplex assay of amplicons hybridized to SSOP in a single detection solution have been described for high-throughput single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) typing of HLA ^[6,7] Information of HLA antigens is helpful in identifying differences that may lead to a better understanding of disease mechanisms ^[8] and also useful in determining the origin, migration and relationships between populations^[9].

Not much documented studies have been reported currently on frequency distribution of HLA antigens among renal transplant recipients and donors from Telangana region of South Indian population. With this background, the present study was undertaken to establish HLA class I and class II antigens and haplotype frequencies by Lifecodes ® HLA–SSO typing kits which combines the PCR amplification–SSO protocol with xMAP technology developed by Luminex Corporation as the analytical technique in 2000 live renal transplant recipients and donors , presented to tertiary care centre from Hyderabad, Telangana, South India.

Materials and Method

A total of 2000 renal transplant recipients and donors who presented to our tertiary care centre were retrospectively included in the study. For each recipient and donor ,5 ml whole blood was collected in *BD Vacutainer*® *EDTA* blood collection tubes. DNA was extracted from the blood samples by using the Wizard genomic *DNA purification* kit from *Promega* Madison WI as per manufacturer's protocol. DNA typing of HLA Loci HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA- DRB1 loci was performed on a Multi-Analyte Profiling system (xMAP) (Luminex HLA-SSO) using Lifecodes ® HLA –SSO typing kit (Immucor, Stamford, CT, USA) as per the manufacturers protocol.

The significance of the distribution of antigens between the recipient and donor was analyzed by Fisher's exact probability test (two tailed). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant between the frequencies of Recipient Vs Donor for single locus antigens. P=0.00001, statistically significant between the frequencies of Recipient Vs Donor used by χ^2 –test for two locus and three locus haplotypes. Haplotype frequencies were calculated by SPSS version 2.4. Two sided significant values were calculated by Chi Square test.

Results

Out of 2000 live recipients and donors, 825 males and 175 females were recipients, 330 males and 670 females were donors. Majority of recipients were between age group of 21- 40 years and the donors were between age group of 31-50 years.

Antigens with the frequency of 5% or more among recipients and donors for HLA A locus were A*01:01,A*11:01,A*24:02,A*02:01,A*02:11,A*03:01, A*33:01. The frequency of HLA A*33:01 was high in donors compared to recipients (P value equals 0.0250 - statistically significant), as shown in Table.1.

Table 1. HLAA locus antigen frequencies among recipients and donors

HLA A LOCUS	Recipient		Donor		D.V.I.
	N	%	N	%	P Value
A*02:01	145	7.20%	40	10%	0.185
A*02:11	125	6.20%	32	8%	0.3909
A*02:03	55	2.70%	7	1.75	0.4704
A*02:06	15	0.70%	4	1%	1
A*01:01	375	18.75%	67	16.75%	0.4646
A*11:01	325	16.20%	52	13%	0.1871
A*24:02	310	15.50%	68	17%	0.5936
A*24:07	25	1.20%	NIL	NIL	0.0609
A*33:01	90	4.50%	34	8.50%	0.025
A*33:03	70	3.50%	15	3.75%	1
A*03:01	100	5%	23	5.75%	0.7472

Antigens with the frequency of 5% or more in recipients for HLA-B locus - B*40:06 ,B*51:01,B*52:01,B*35:0 3,B*35:01, B*57:01 , and among donors - B44*03:01,B*57:03, B*15:18, as shown in Table.2.

Table 2. HLA B locus antigen frequencies among recipients and donors							
	Paginiant	Recipient N %		Donor	P Value		
HLA B LOCUS	N			N %			
B*40:06	230	11.50%	43		10.75%	0.8101	
B*40:01	60	3%	7		1.75	0.3475	
B*51:01	225	11.25%	49)	12.25%	0.7236	
B*51:06	35	1.75%	3		0.75%	0.3375	
B*35:03	125	6.25%	21		5.25%	0.6386	
B*35:01	125	6.25%	23	ı	5.75%	0.8779	
B*52:01	165	8.20%	26	;	6.50%	0.3977	
B*44	145	7.25%	35		8.75%	0.4955	
B*44:03:01	75	3.75%	31		7.75%	0.018	
B*44:03:02	25	1.25%	NI	IL	NIL	0.0609	
B*44:03:03	20	1%	NI	IL	nil	0.1231	
B*15:18	20	1%	21		5.25%	0.0006	
B*15:01	20	1%	8		2%	0.3803	
B*15:17	20	1%	6		1.50%	0.7508	
B*15:25	10	0.50%	NI	IL	nil	0.4987	
B*57:01	100	5%	6		1.5 %	0.0073	
B*57:03	35	1.75%	26	,	6.5%	0.0008	
B*07:02	85	4.20%	20)	5%	0.7304	

The frequencies of B*44:03(pvalue-0.018), B*15:18(pvalue-0.0006), B*57:03(pvalue-0.0008) were significantly high in donors, while frequency of B*57:01 (pvalue-0.0073) was significantly high among the recipients. Antigens with the frequency of 5% or more in recipients and donors for HLA-DRB1 locus included DRB1*15:01, DRB1*15:02, DRB1*07:01, DRB1*13:01, DRB1*04:03, DRB1*14:04, DRB1*04:03, DRB1*12:02, DRB1*11:01, DRB1*14:04. There were no significant differences in frequencies of HLA DRB1 locus antigens among the recipients and donors as shown in Table.3.

Table 3. HLA- DRB1 locus antigens frequencies among recipients and donors						
HLA DRB1 LOCUS	Recipient	Recipient			P Value	
	N	%	N	%	r value	
DRB1*15:01	205	12.50%	46	11.50%	0.628	
DRB1*15:02	195	7.50%	37	9.25%	0.8987	
DRB1*07:01	270	13.50%	70	17.50%	0.1047	
DRB1*13:01	150	7.50%	29	7.25%	1	
DRB1*04:03	140	7%	22	5.50%	0.45	
DRB1*04:01	25	1.25%	3	0.70%	0.7238	
DRB1*04:05	35	1.75%	7	1.75%	1	
DRB1*14:04	125	6.25%	20	5%	0.5272	
DRB1*14:01	30	1.50%	5	1.25%	1	
DRB1*10:01	95	4.75%	17	4.25%	0.8616	
DRB1*12:02	95	4.75%	23	5.75%	0.6251	
DRB1*11:01	70	3.50%	21	5.25%	0.2883	

Two locus HLA A-B haplotypes A*24:02- B*51:01 and A*01:01- B*52:01 were present among recipients and absent among the donors as shown in Table.4.

Table-4: Most common HLA-A-B haplotypes of renal transplant Recipients & donors

Antigen	Recipient		Donor		D 1
	N	%	N	%	P-value
A*01-B*15	5	6.8	7	10.4	0.041
A*01-B*35	9	12.3	6	9	0.0535
A*01-B*37	12	16.4	6	9	0.0321
A*01-B*40	7	9.6	10	14.9	0.0413
A*01-B*51	8	11	6	9	0.061
A*01-B*52	5	6.8	0	0	0.00001
A*01-B*57	6	8.2	11	16.4	0.0023
A*02-B*07	5	6.5	7	8.4	0.081
A*02-B*51	10	13	9	10.8	0.0625
A*03-B*35	6	30	6	23.1	0.031
A*11-B*35	10	15.4	7	13.5	0.0624
A*11-B*40	12	18.5	6	11.5	0.009
A*24-B*35	10	14.9	5	7.2	0.0026
A*24-B*40	14	20.9	13	18.8	0.091
A*24-B*51	9	13.4	0	0	0.00001

Two locus HLA A-DRB1 haplotypes A*02:01-DRB1*12:02, A*02:01-DRB1*14:04,A*03:01-DRB1*13:01,A*11:01-DRB1*04:03,A*11:01-DRB1*14:04,A*24:02-DRB1*04:01,A*68:01- DRB1*14:01 were present among recipients(Pvalue=0.00001),andA*01:01-DRB1*10:01,A*02:11-DRB1*11:01,A*11:01-DRB1*11:02,A*11:01-DRB1*12:02,A*68:01-DRB1*13:01 were present among donors (P value=0.00001) as shown in Table.5.

Table-5: Most common HLA-A-DR B1 haplotypes of renal transplant Recipients & donors.							
Antigen	Reci	pient	D	onor	ъ. 1		
	N	%	N	%	P-value		
A*01-DRB1*04	45	12.3	6	9	0.042		
A*01-DRB1*07	90	24.7	20	29.9	0.037		
A*01-DRB1*10	0	0	5	7.5	0.00001		
A*01-DRB1*15	30	8.2	8	11.9	0.041		
A*02-DRB1*11	0	0	7	8.4	0.00001		
A*02-DRB1*12	30	7.8	0	0	0.00001		
A*02-DRB1*13	50	13	6	7.2	0.0324		
A*02-DRB1*14	25	6.5	0	0	0.00001		
A*02-DRB1*15	90	23.4	28	33.7	0.008		
A*03-DRB1*13	25	25	0	0	0.00001		
A*11-DRB1*04	50	15.4	0	0	0.00001		
A*11-DRB1*07	35	10.8	7	13.5	0.0513		

112

Cont... Table-5: Most common HLA-A-DR B1 haplotypes of renal transplant Recipients & donors .

A*11-DRB1*11	0	0	7	13.5	0.00001
A*11-DRB1*12	0	0	5	9.6	0.00001
A*11-DRB1*14	30	9.2	0	0	0.00001
A*11-DRB1*15	90	27.7	10	19.2	0.02
A*24-DRB1*04	35	10.4	0	0	0.00001
A*24-DRB1*07	30	9	9	13	0.044
A*24-DRB1*15	85	25.4	15	21.7	0.0461
A*26-DRB1*07	25	33.3	5	31.3	0.059
A*68-DRB1*13	0	0	7	28	0.00001
A*68-DRB1*14	40	42.1	0	0	0.00001

Two locus HLA B-DR haplotypes B*35:03-DRB1*04:03,B*35:01-DRB1*13:01,B*40:06-DRB1*04:03,B*40:06-DRB1*07:01,B*40:01-DRB1*13:01,B*40:06-DRB1*14:04,B*49:01-DRB1*13:02, B*52:01-DRB1*04:03 were present among recipients (P value = 0.00001).Two locus HLA B-DR haplotypes B*13:01-DRB1*07:01,B*44:03-DRB1*15:01, B*51:01-DRB1*04:03, B*51:01-DRB1*07:01 were present among donors (P value = .00001) as shown in Table.6.

Allele	Recipient	Donoi	•	ъ	
	N	%	N	%	P-value
B*07-DRB1*13	25	18.5	5	15.6	0.042
B*07-DRB1*15	50	37	10	31.3	0.03
B*08-DRB1*03	25	50	7	77.8	0.0002
B*13-DRB1*07	0	0	6	11.1	0.00001
B*15-DRB1*04	25	17.2	6	14.6	0.052
B*15-DRB1*07	25	17.2	5	12.2	0.037
B*15-DRB1*12	25	17.2	9	22	0.04
B*15-DRB1*15	30	20.7	5	12.2	0.0009
B*35-DRB1*04	25	10	0	0	0.00001
B*35-DRB1*13	25	10	0	0	0.00001
B*37-DRB1*10	25	35.7	5	41.7	0.02
B*40-DRB1*04	35	12.1	0	0	0.00001
B*40-DRB1*07	40	13.8	0	0	0.00001
B*40-DRB1*13	30	10.3	0	0	0.00001
B*40-DRB1*14	30	10.3	0	0	0.00001
B*40-DRB1*15	75	25.9	19	37.3	0.00219
B*44-DRB1*07	50	34.5	17	47.2	0.0022
B*44-DRB1*15	0	0	5	13.9	0.00001
B*49-DRB1*13	35	87.5	0	0	0.00001
B*51-DRB1*04	0	0	7	13.5	0.00001
B*51-DRB1*07	0	0	7	13.5	0.00001
B*51-DRB1*15	50	18.9	8	15.4	0.0523
B*52-DRB1*04	30	18.2	0	0	0.00001
B*52-DRB1*15	55	33.3	11	42.3	0.0059

Three locus HLA A- B-DRB1 haplotypes most common among recipients were A*24:02-B*40:06-DRB1*15:01(P value= 0.00001) and among donors included A*01:01-B*57:01-DRB1*07:01, A*02:11-B*35:03-DRB1*15:01, A*33:01-B*44:03-DRB1*07:01 (P value= 0.00001) . A*02:01-B*51:01-DRB1*15:01 was common among both donors and recipients .

Discussion

It was observed in our study that majority of the donors were females (67.5%) and males outnumbered females in recipients (82.5%), this is in concordance to the study done from various parts of India and Nepal [10,11,12]. Among 2000 live donor and recipient , parents Vs. offspring were 42%, offspring Vs. parents were 7% , spousal donors were 17.5% , siblings were 15.5% ,this is in concordance to study done from North India and Nepal [12,13].

Studies from North India [13] Western India [14], Karnataka, South India [10] and West Central India [15] showed that there was no significant difference of antigens in recipients and donors and in these studies HLA typing was performed by CDC NIH protocol and PCR-SSP method. HLA typing in our study was done by the luminex based PCR SSOP method which enable HLA genotyping on intermediate or in parts high resolution level and enables a high-throughput typing of HLA antigens without ambiguity by MatchIT DNA program based on the CWD HLA alleles^[16]. The HLA antigen frequencies among recipients and donors reported in our study is different from studies done from other parts of India [10,15,17,18,19]. Different subtypes within the same antigens of HLA-A,B,DRB1 loci were also documented in our study and this was possible by Luminex PCR-SSO typing .From our results we can infer that B*57:01 antigen may be a risk factor for renal failure and HLA A*33:01, B*44:03, B*15:18, B*57:03 antigens may have protective influence on the kidney. Two locus haplotypes A*24:02-B*51:01, A*01:01- B*52:01, A*68:01-DRB1*14:01, B4*9:01-DRB1*13:02 were significantly high among recipients and absent in donors indicating that this haplotype may be a risk factor for renal failure, while A*68:01-DRB1*13:01,B*44:03-DRB1*15:01 were significantly high among donors indicating that this haplotype may have protective influence on kidney, these haplotypes are not documented from other parts of India [10,13,15,16,17]. Three locus haplotypes among donors was A*02:01B*51:01-DRB1*15:01 and this haplotype is not reported from other parts of India ,followed by A*33:01:01-B*44:03:02-DRB1*07:01:01 which is similar to the study done from North India [17] and A*02:11-B*35:01-DRB1*15:01, from South India [21] , these haplotypes may have protective influence on kidney. Three locus haplotype reported among the recipients in our study was A*01:01-B*57:01-DRB1*07:01, this haplotype is not reported from other parts of India. The present study is the first investigation of HLA typing by PCR-SSOP method, for live related renal transplant recipients and donors from Telangana state of South India.

Conclusion

The knowledge of HLA allele and haplotype frequencies will help in understanding the Indian population genetics and help the organ transplant team to find suitable matched donors for transplant recipients.

Conflict of Interest: No

Funding: None

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Mr.Jayakrishna Gatadi and team for providing us with all associated documents related to clinical history and data of recipients and donors.

Ethics approval: The study is a retrospective data analysis and no human interventions are involved ethical approval is not applicable.

References

- 1. Fudenberg HH. Basic immunogenetics. New York: Oxford University Press.1984; 302.
- 2. Opelz G, Mytilineos J, Scherer S. Survival of DNA HLA-DR typed and matched cadaver kidney transplants. Lancet. 1991;338:461–63.
- Claas FH, van der Poel JJ, Castelli-Visser R, Pool J, Chen RB, Xu KY, van Rood JJ. Interaction between des-Tyrl-gammaendorphin and HLA class I molecules: serological detection of an HLA-A2 subtype. Immunogenetics 1985; 22:309–14.
- 4. Kojima S, Inaba J, Yoshimi A, Takahashi Y, Watanabe N, Kudo K, *et al.* Unrelated donor marrow transplantation in children with severe aplastic anaemia using cyclophosphamide, anti-thymocyte globulin and total body irradiation. Br J Haematol 2001; 114:706–11.

- Mehra NK, Verduijn W, Taneja V, Drabblels J, Singh SPN, Giphart M. Analysis of HLA-DR2 associated polymorphism by oligonucleotide hybridization in an Asian Indian population. Hum Immunol. 1991;32:241–47.
- Diaz MR, Fell JW. High-throughput detection of pathogenic yeasts of the genus trichosporon. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42:3696

 – 706.
- 7. Ye F, Li MS, Taylor JD, Nguyen Q, Colton HM, Casey WM, *et al.* Fluorescent microsphere-based readout technology for multiplexed human single nucleotide polymorphism analysis and bacterial identification. Hum Mutat 2001;17:305–16.
- 8. Hirayama K, Zaidi AS, Lokman Hakim S, Kimura A, Ong KJ, Kikuchi M, *et al*. Molecular analysis of HLA-B in the Malaysian aborigines. Tissue Antigens 1996; 48:692-97.
- 9. Dhaliwal JS, Too CL, Lisut M, Lee YY, Murad S . HLA-B27 polymorphism in the Malays. Tissue Antigens 2003; (3) 62:330-32.
- Adiga Murali, Pai Kirtana M , Adhikari Snehunsu , Rajesh T and Sivakumar, HLA antigen distribution in renal transplant patients & donors visiting tertiary care hospital of Karnataka State in South India. International Journal of Physiology 2015; 3 (2):53-58.
- 11. Modi GK, Jha V The incidence of end-stage renal disease in India: a population-based study.,Kidney Int. 2006;70(12):2131-33.
- 12. Tuladhar A, Shrestha S, Raut PP, Bhandari P, Shrestha P. Hla antigen distribution in renal transplant recipients and donors. J Nepal Health Res Counc 2013;11(25):289-92.

- S.Agarwal, AK Singh, RK Sharma. HLA gene and haplotype frequency in renal transplant recipients and donors of Uttar Pradesh (North India) Indian J Nephrol 2001; 11: 88-97.
- Devaraj Prasanavar and U. Shankarkumar HLA

 Antigen and Haplotype Frequencies in Renal Transplant Recipients and Donors of Maharashtra (Western India)., Int J Hum Genet .2004; 4(2): 155-59.
- 15. Jaina S. Patel, Manisha M. Patel, Prakash G. Koringa, Tejas M. Shah, Amrutlal K. Patel, et al. Human leukocyte antigen alleles, genotypes and haplotypes frequencies in renal transplant donors and recipients from West Central India., Indian J Hum Genet 2013; 19(2): 219–232.
- Testi M, Andreani M, Luminex-Based Methods in High-Resolution HLA Typing, Methods Mol Biol. 2015; 1310:231-245
- 17. Mohit Chowdhry, Raj Nath Makroo, Manoj Kumar Genetic diversity through human leukocyte antigen typing in end-stage renal disease patients and prospective donors of North India, Indian J of Pathol and Microbiol 2016; (59) 1:59-62.
- Zachary AA, Steinberg AG, Bias WB, Leffell MS. The frequencies of HLA alleles and haplotypes and their distribution among donors and renal patients in the UNOS registry. Transplantation 1996;62(2):272– 83.
- Leenam Dedhia, Shruti Gadekar, Pooja Mehta, Sunil Parekh. HLA haplotype diversity in the South Indian population and its relevance. Indian J of Transplantation 2015;9:138-43.